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Abstract

It is well known that regional climate simulations are sensitive to the size and position of the domain chosen for calculations. Here we study the physical mechanisms of this sensitivity. We conducted simulations with the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS) for June 2000 over North America at 50 km horizontal resolution using a 7500 km x 5400 km grid and NCEP/NCAR reanalysis as boundary conditions. The position of the domain was displaced in several directions, always maintaining the U.S. in the interior, out of the buffer zone along the lateral boundaries. Circulation biases developed a large scale structure, organized by the Rocky Mountains, resulting from a systematic shifting of the synoptic wave trains that crossed the domain. The distortion of the large-scale circulation was produced by interaction of the flow with the lateral boundaries of the nested domain and varied when the position of the grid was altered. This changed the large-scale environment among the different simulations and translated into diverse conditions for the development of the mesoscale processes that produce most of precipitation for the Great Plains in the summer season. As a consequence, precipitation results varied, sometimes greatly, among the experiments with the different grid positions. To eliminate the dependence of results on the position of the domain, we used spectral nudging of waves longer than 2500 km above the boundary layer in all variables but moisture. This constrained the synoptic scales to follow reanalysis while allowing the model to develop the small-scale dynamics responsible for the rainfall. Spectral nudging successfully eliminated the variation of precipitation results when the grid was moved. We suggest that this technique is necessary for all downscaling studies with regional models embedded in global models.
1. Introduction

One popular approach to produce high-resolution numerical simulations over a region of interest is to nest a regional model within a coarser global model. This procedure is used routinely for short to medium range numerical weather prediction, and is the subject of multiple studies in the literature. When the nested model technique is employed for climate research it is referred to as dynamical downscaling, and this application is relatively recent (Dickinson et al., 1989; Giorgi et al., 1990). The use of the nested model technique for climate studies is motivated by the large uncertainties at regional scales of climate simulations produced by general circulation models (GCMs), currently still run at relatively coarse resolution (~250 km). The uncertainty in climate change scenarios at local scales is a major difficulty for the assessment of impacts of climate change on society. Regional climate simulations with high resolution could also be obtained with variable resolution global models (Fox-Rabinovitz et al., 2000), or by rotating the pole to the area of interest (Wang et al., 1993), but the regional model approach is more accessible to most research groups and computationally cost-effective.

At short time ranges, a high-resolution regional model produces better weather forecasts than those of the GCM in which it is nested because it better resolves surface heterogeneity, topography and small scale features in the flow, including growing instabilities. However, the advantage of the nested model diminishes very rapidly, and beyond about 36 h, its skill is no longer higher than that of the GCM (White et al., 1999). The performance is superior to the GCM’s as long as the forecast is mostly an initial value problem for the regional model, but it deteriorates rapidly as time progresses and the solution turns more into a boundary value problem. The reason for this is that the lateral boundary conditions for the nested model are mathematically not well posed (Staniforth, 1997; Warner et al., 1997).
For simulations that span a longer period of time (i.e., running the model in climate mode), the assumption is that the inflow of correct information through the boundaries eventually flushes out errors and the model can still produce meaningful climate statistics. Nevertheless, the behavior of a regional model in climate mode is the subject of active research and controversy (see, for example, Giorgi and Mearns (1999) for an overview of issues related to regional climate modeling). Regional models that show good skill for short and medium range forecasts often produce poor climate simulations. Moreover, the reliability of the results, especially its sensitivity, is questionable when, for example, changing the size or the position of the domain sometimes alters results significantly, even at points that stay distant from the boundaries in all cases (Seth and Giorgi, 1998). This indicates that these mixed results may not always be directly due to deficiencies in the model physics or initial state specification, in particular for soil variables.

One factor contributing to the sensitivity to the geometry and position of the domain is that the quality of the boundary data is not homogeneous, and when the boundaries are moved to be over an area where the driving data contain inaccuracies, the poor boundary conditions can contaminate the regional model solution (Liang et al. 2001). Another factor is that the model physics may be deficient for certain atmospheric situations for which the parameterizations do not work properly. If the domain is moved or expanded to include regions where those situations are more frequent, the errors generated can be advected to the rest of the domain. A more plausible explanation, however, is that the incompatibilities between the model solution and the boundary conditions, already evident in the first days of the simulation, produce an interaction between the model dynamics and the lateral boundaries that affects the solution throughout the domain. The main effect of the interaction with the boundaries is the alteration of the large scales of the circulation. This problem is a consequence of the over-specification of boundary
conditions for the atmospheric equations that are solved in the grid (Staniforth, 1997). Lateral boundary conditions are usually imposed following the method of Davies (1976), where the model variables are relaxed Newtonially to the driving fields in a buffer zone several points wide along the borders of the grid. This relaxation effectively damps small-scale discrepancies that accumulate in the vicinity of the outflow boundaries. However, it does not handle larger scales correctly, and the long waves reflect and interfere within the domain, distorting the circulation.

Vukicevic and Errico (1990), in a predictability study with a limited area model, showed that most of the error growth in the regional model occurred in wavelengths longer than 2000 km, whereas errors with smaller scales were damped. The boundary conditions effectively constrain the scales responsible for the error growth only when the domain size is relatively small, of the scale of the minimum wavelength with significant error growth (about 2000 km). For longer time simulations with a domain over the Arctic, Rinke and Dethloff (2000) also found that most of the contribution to the error in the regional model is from deviations in the large scales. In climate studies over Europe, Jones et al. (1995) indicated that the synoptic scales are significantly modified in relatively large domains. These authors identify a domain with dimensions of about 5000 km where the synoptic scale divergence is tolerable, even though not eliminated. A domain of the small size required to constraint the scales for which error growth occurs in a limited area model (~2000 km) was found to produce very different sensitivities from those of larger domains, which are believed to be more realistic and agree more with sensitivity results from global models (Seth and Giorgi, 1998).

As one would expect, the errors in the synoptic circulation translate into errors in all other variables, especially precipitation. Miguez-Macho et al. (2003) found that when setting up a regional model for climate applications over North America, the error in the location of the main precipitation pattern was largely due to a systematic distortion of the large-scale flow by the
interaction with the lateral boundaries, and not to physical parameterizations or the initialization of soil moisture. They suggested that the large-scale perturbations are preferably organized in patterns dependent on the domain geometry, as well as on the topography in the interior of the grid.

Here we investigated further how the model geometry and position affect the model internal dynamics. We experimented with several positions of the grid, with and without altering its geometry, and results confirmed findings of earlier studies, showing dramatic variations in precipitation amounts and pattern for certain domain position changes. The model biases in all cases organized in a long wave pattern that clearly implicated interaction with the lateral boundaries, since the long waves “feel” the lateral boundaries at any point in the interior of the domain.

The distortion of the long wave dynamics limits the downscaling applicability of the nesting technique, because the small-scale variability that the model is supposed to generate from the large scales is therefore also erroneous. As a solution we propose here the relaxation of the long waves in the domain to those of the driving fields with a spectral nudging technique (Waldron et al., 1996; von Storch et al., 2000; Miguez-Macho et al., 2003). We conducted the same experiments with nudging of the longest waves in the domain, and the dependence on geometry and size is virtually eliminated.

The spectral nudging technique allows the model to freely develop small-scale variability, and this maintains the utility of the nested model technique as a climate downscaling tool. As a drawback, the effect of small scales on the large-scale flow is greatly diminished, as the large scales are constantly relaxed towards the external fields. This does not represent a serious limitation, because the large scales are provided by the boundary conditions, and the regional model is not meant to modify them significantly. In the last part of the study we assess
the effect of the procedure on small scale features, by contrasting the spectral nudging technique with conventional nudging methods in the interior of the domain that damp the short scales already not present in the driving fields.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the model and the setup used for the experiments. Section 3 presents results for the experiments for different variations of the domain, and analyzes biases. Section 4 briefly discusses the spectral nudging procedure and shows results for the same experiments as in Section 3 but with the spectral nudging activated. Section 5 examines the small-scale variability created by the model when the long waves are nudged, and compares results to conventional nudging techniques in the interior of the domain. Section 6 summarizes results and presents conclusions.

2. Model and experimental set up

We use the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS) version 4.3 (Pielke et al. 1992, Cotton et al. 2003), based on compressible non-hydrostatic hydrodynamic equations and state-of-the-art turbulence closure. The model modifications and set up that we implement for the experiments are described in more depth by Miguez-Macho et al. (2003). The main physics options that we chose are a Kain-Fritsch convective scheme (Kain and Fritsch, 1990, 1993) with modifications; a “dumpbucket” stratiform precipitation scheme as for ClimRAMS (Liston and Pielke, 2001); no explicit microphysics, with cloud water diagnosed; Mellor-Yamada (1974) subgrid turbulence; and the two-stream delta-Eddington radiative transfer scheme of Harrington (1997). LEAF2 (Walko et al., 2000), the soil model of RAMS, is run with 11 layers to a depth of 2.5 m.

The horizontal grid uses a rotated polar-stereographic projection and here we utilize a spacing of 50 km. In the vertical, RAMS employs a $\sigma_z$ terrain-following coordinate system (Gal-Chen and Somerville, 1975), and for our experiments the spacing is variable, with 30 vertical
levels to a height of approximately 20 km. The minimum vertical resolution is 100 m and the maximum is 1200 m. The smallest grid spacing of 100 m is above the surface, and then the vertical resolution progressively degrades to 1200 m in the upper troposphere and stratosphere. Ten vertical levels are within the boundary layer.

Initial and boundary conditions for the atmospheric fields, as well as initial soil moisture and temperature are from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). Sea surface temperatures (SSTs) for most of the Atlantic are 4 km resolution multi-channel Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer satellite retrievals (Bernstein, 1982) from the Marine Remote Sensing Laboratory of the Rutgers Institute for Marine and Coastal Sciences, in 3-day composites. For the Pacific, SSTs are weekly averages at 1° latitude-longitude resolution from Reynolds et al. (2002).

Boundary conditions are applied following the method of Davies (1976) in a 15-point thick buffer zone. The relaxing coefficient follows a parabolic function and is constant in height, as it is standard in RAMS.

The integration time is one month and our region of interest is the U.S. The period chosen for the simulations is June 2000, a month characterized by frequent wave activity in the circulation over North America that resulted in large precipitation amounts over the Great Plains. The control experiment has the grid shown in Fig. 1. It comprises most of North America and adjacent ocean areas, including the Gulf of Mexico. The buffer zone along the boundaries is located mostly over ocean points to avoid vertical interpolation problems due to the differences in topography between the reanalysis model and RAMS. The model with the configuration outlined in this section has been thoroughly validated for this grid and period of time in a previous study (Miguez-Macho et al., 2003).
3. Experiments with different positions of the grid

We conduct several experiments to investigate the influence of the position of the domain in the results. Here we focus mainly on precipitation, which is the most difficult variable to simulate and the one that usually shows a large sensitivity to changes in the dynamics. The center of the grid of the control experiment (Fig. 1) was successively moved 17° to the west, 10° to the east, 7° to the north, and 10° to the south. The distance moved in each direction is the maximum permitted so that, without changing the grid geometry, the U.S. is contained in the interior of the domain and the buffer zones in the boundaries lie as much over the ocean as they did for the control experiment. In another experiment the grid was rotated so that the long axis of its rectangular shape adopts a North-South orientation. In this case, the number of points was kept at 108 x 150, and the U.S. is also contained in the interior of the domain, out of the buffer zones. The geometry of the grid was only altered in one experiment where it was made a square with 108 x 108 points. The exact position of the different domains is shown together with results from the control experiment in the Fig. 2. Because RAMS uses a rotated polar-stereographic projection and we display all results in the same lat-lon projection, grids displaced to the north appear to extend through a much larger region than they actually do. All grids cover exactly the same surface area, except for the experiment with a square domain.

Figure 2 shows precipitation totals for June 2000 for observations and the different grid locations. It is clearly apparent that when displacing the grid, model results vary largely, not only in precipitation amounts but also in pattern. Simulated rainfall totals are also rather different from the observations (Fig. 2a), which have a maximum of rainfall approximately on the Oklahoma-Louisiana border with values of 11 mm/day and values of about 7-8 mm/day in a band that stretches in a south to north-northeast direction from Northern Texas to the Great Lakes. Precipitation is less in the rest of the US. Only the simulation with the rotated grid (Fig.
2g) seems to capture the rainfall pattern in a band structure along the western Plains, but in this simulation precipitation amounts are much reduced as compared to the observations and the other experiments. The rest of the experiments show a band oriented from west to east across the Central U.S., except when the grid is displaced to the west or to the north. All simulations, except the one with the rotated grid (Fig. 2g), present one clear maximum of rainfall in the vicinity of the Oklahoma-Louisiana border, as in the observations. The experiment with the grid moved to the north shows considerably higher amounts of precipitation than the other ones, extending throughout the southern states of the U.S. with values of 9-10 mm/day.

The observed rainfall pattern for June 2000 (Fig. 2a) is typical for wet summers over the Great Plains. An important factor for summer precipitation over this region is the southerly low-level jet on the eastern side of the Rockies, which transports moisture from the Gulf of Mexico. Byerle and Paegle (2003) show a strong correlation between the strength of the low-level jet and summer precipitation in the northern Great Plains. They also link persistent anomalous strong zonal flow over the central Rockies with a stronger low-level jet, which results in flooding conditions on the mid and upper Mississippi river basin. The interaction of the large-scale flow with the mountain chain is, for these authors, a scale transfer mechanism between the large-scale flow and regional responses, represented by the low-level jet. Next, we examine the upper level flow for June 2000 and the model bias for each experiment, as examine the relationship of the observed rainfall differences among the experiments with circulation anomalies.

Figure 3 shows monthly mean 200 mb zonal wind from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis; and the 200 mb zonal wind bias for the experiments. The observations indicate a jet at about 45°-50°N with peak values of about 35 m/s across North America and a displacement to the south as the air flow crosses the barrier of the Rockies. A less intense jet stream is also apparent at 20°-25°N centered at 45°W. The biases for all the different experiments show a wave pattern organized by
the Rocky Mountains, with maxima and minima along the east side of the mountain chain. When the grid extends sufficiently downstream over the Atlantic Ocean (e.g., Fig. 3d), new maxima and minima arise next to the outflow boundary, and these are more intense. Significantly stronger error values appear on the experiment with the grid displaced to the south (Fig. 3f). The grid for this experiment has its northern boundary just north of the jet axis, and the sharp temperature gradients that define the tropopause height variation associated with the jet core are not well captured. The jet in this experiment is weaker, and that affects the upper tropospheric circulation everywhere in the grid, overwhelming the wave distribution pattern along the mountains that is observed in all other experiments.

The meridional flow biases also organize in a long wave pattern as indicated in Fig. 4. The phase of the waves is not always the same, even though they seem to be organized by the Rocky Mountains with a minimum to the east and a maximum to the west of the cordillera. This is not the case for the experiment with the rotated grid (Fig. 4g) and when the grid is displaced to the south (Fig. 4f).

Only one of the cases (northern boundary just north of the jet axis (Fig. 3f) presents evidence that the wrong position of the grid boundary has a large impact on the upper troposphere wind errors. The other experiments confirm results from a previous study (Miguez-Macho et al., 2003) and indicate that the interaction with the boundaries, and therefore the domain geometry, largely determine the bias patterns in the circulation. Small-scale errors generated inside the model domain eventually grow and affect the synoptic and larger scales. This creates an incompatibility with the boundary conditions (there is no feedback permitted, since the boundary data are predetermined) that is more intense in the outflow boundary, where boundary conditions are over-specified. The large scale waves from the model reflect from the boundary and interfere inside the domain; the result is the long-wave pattern that we see in the
upper-air wind biases in Figs. 3 and 4, which correspond to shifts in the successive synoptic wave characteristic of the period. The intensity of the biases varies largely with the domain position, even when the model physics are exactly the same in all experiments, and the areas covered are not so drastically different from each other (North America and the surrounding oceans). The alterations in the circulation translate into the rather different precipitation patterns that are shown in Fig. 2.

Jones et al. (1995) and earlier predictability studies with limited area models (Vukicevic and Errico, 1990) suggest that reducing the domain size is the only manner to avoid physical inconsistencies between the regional model solution and the driving fields. However, if the area of interest is North America and one wants to keep the boundaries and buffer zones over ocean areas, it is not possible to reduce the domain size much more than, for example, that of the square grid that we chose for one of our experiments. In this case, the synoptic scales of the flow were also different from reanalysis.

4. Experiments with spectral nudging

Miguez-Macho et al. (2003) proposed a spectral nudging technique to solve the problem of the distortion of the large scale dynamics by interaction with the boundaries, and therefore allow the use of relatively large domains for dynamical downscaling applications. Spectral nudging was originally introduced for a regional model by Waldron et al. (1996) and has also been applied for climate simulations by von Storch et al. (2000). It consists of adding a new term to the tendencies of the variables that relaxes the selected part of the spectrum to the corresponding waves from reanalysis,

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = L(Q) - \sum_{|x|N} \sum_{|y|M} K_{mn} \left(Q_{mn} - Q_{omn}\right) e^{ik_{x}x} e^{ik_{y}y} .$$

(1)
\( Q \) is any of the prognostic variables to be nudged, \( L \) is the model operator, and \( Q_o \) is the variable from the driving fields. \( Q_{mn} \) and \( Q_{omn} \) are the spectral coefficients of \( Q \) and \( Q_o \) respectively. \( K_{mn} \) is the nudging coefficient, which can vary with \( m \) and \( n \) and also with height; \( m \) and \( n \) are the wave numbers in the x and y directions in polar stereographic projection that roughly correspond to the east-west and north-south directions, respectively. The wave vector components \( k_m \) and \( k_n \) in the x and y directions depend on the domain size \( D_x \) and \( D_y \) in the corresponding direction and wave number,

\[
k_m = \frac{2\pi \cdot m}{D_x}; \quad k_n = \frac{2\pi \cdot n}{D_y}.
\]  

The spectral decomposition is performed on the difference fields \( Q - Q_o \), which are quasi-periodic, since they are always close to zero along the boundaries. The relaxation term, with only the coefficients for the selected part of the spectrum, is transformed from wave space to physical space and added to the tendency for the prognosed variable \( Q \). Because of the orthogonality of the functions of the Fourier expansion, only the same part of the spectrum of variable \( Q \) will be affected by the relaxation.

The variables nudged are \( u, v, \theta_l \) and \( \pi' \) (winds, modified equivalent potential temperature that is conserved in both ice-to-liquid and liquid-to-vapor phase transformations, and perturbation Exner function). We choose not to nudge moisture fields because their variations in the horizontal, and especially in the vertical, can be very pronounced and likely to be missed by coarse resolution reanalyses. The strength of the nudging depends on coefficient \( K_{mn} \), which is set to be a function of height, being zero in the boundary layer and increasing smoothly from about 1500 m above the terrain to become constant in the upper troposphere with a characteristic time for the relaxation of 5000 s. In the experiments where the grid is rectangular with 150 x 108 points, nudging is applied for wave numbers 0, 1, 2 and 3 in the x direction and 0, 1 and 2 in
the $y$ direction. This is the equivalent of setting $M = 3$ and $N = 2$ in (1). When the grid is rotated 90° the wave numbers nudged for each dimension are reversed, and when the grid is a square with 108 x 108 points only wave numbers 0, 1 and 2 are nudged for both $x$ and $y$ direction. For all experiments, the nudged wave numbers correspond to wavelengths of about 2500 km and longer (wave number 3 and smaller in the grid dimension with 7500 km, and about wave number 2 and smaller in the one with 5400 km).

Figure 5 shows precipitation results for the monthly simulations with similar domains and set up as in Fig. 2, but with spectral nudging applied as previously described. Precipitation patterns and amounts are very similar now in all experiments and the spurious variations due to displacements of the domain or changes in geometry are eliminated. These coincident results occur even though the boundary layer variables, as well as moisture at all levels, are not nudged. Precipitation totals show reduced amounts in the Northern Great Plains (compare Fig. 5 with observations in Fig. 2a), but this negative result is on the other hand positive if it is taken as an indication that the model, with all its problems in physical parameterizations, is still free to develop small scales at which most of the precipitation processes take place.

5. Effects of small-scale variability

In this section we assess the behavior of the model at small scales when we relax the synoptic scales to reanalysis. For comparison, we conduct a new simulation with the grid in the control position and all wave lengths of $u$, $v$, $\theta_{il}$ and $\pi'$ nudged to reanalysis above the boundary layer throughout the domain with a characteristic time of 5000 s (same as in the spectral nudging experiments). This is conventional Newtonian relaxation (as applied in the boundaries) to reanalysis of those variables and levels.

Figure 6 shows precipitation results for this experiment with conventional nudging in the interior of the grid. Compared to Fig. 5a, results for the simulation with identical domain and
settings, but with spectral nudging of the long waves in the grid, precipitation is sensibly reduced when conventional relaxation is used. Differences in other variables between both simulations are on average not very large and look rather noisy and unorganized (not shown). However, it is precisely that small-scale variability that causes the large differences in rainfall produced between both simulations.

To quantify the effect of nudging on different scales, we perform a spectral analysis of the kinetic energy following the method of Errico (1985) for a rectangular domain. For analysis purposes, the kinetic energy of waves with the module of the two-dimensional wave vector

\[ \bar{k}_{mn} = (k_m, k_n); \]  

belonging to the interval

\[ k_l - \frac{1}{2} \Delta k < \left(k_m^2 + k_n^2\right)^{1/2} < k_l + \frac{1}{2} \Delta k; \]  

was calculated and attributed to a one-dimensional wave vector \( k_l \). Here \( \Delta k \) is the minimum wave vector for a given domain and resolution,

\[ \Delta k = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta s \left(L - 1\right)}; \]  

with \( \Delta s \) the grid spacing (same in \( y \) and \( x \) directions for all cases), and \( L \) the maximum of \( L_x \) and \( L_y \), the dimensions of the grid in \( x \) and \( y \) directions respectively. Therefore

\[ k_l = l\Delta k; \quad l = 0, 1, \cdots, (L - 1)/2 \]  

where \( l \) is a generalized wave number that characterizes waves moving in all directions but with the wave vectors from interval (4).

Figure 7 depicts the time evolution of the log_{10} of the amplitude of the kinetic energy spectral coefficients at 500 mb as a function of \( l \). At the initial time, kinetic energy in all three simulations shows a sharp decrease in amplitude for wave numbers larger than 7 (equivalent to wave lengths of about 1,000 km), which is the minimum contained in the reanalysis fields used.
to initialize the model and thereafter as boundary conditions. The model employed in the reanalysis project is a T62 spectral model, but the fields that we use here were archived on a 2.5° x 2.5° grid after being filtered and smoothed to a resolution of T36, equivalent to minimum wavelengths of 10°, or about 1,000 km. The simulation with conventional nudging has about the same small amplitude beyond that wave number 7 for the rest of the month (Fig. 7c). However, the experiment with spectral nudging rapidly develops small scales (Fig. 7b) (after only 6 h, not shown), and at day 10 the fields have the same amplitude in large wave numbers as when there is no nudging at all in the interior of the domain (Fig. 7a). Figure 7 is for 500 mb, but the structure is similar at all other levels, except at those that lie in the boundary layer, where no nudging of any kind is applied in any of the three simulations.

Figure 8 shows the vertical structure of the differences between the spectral amplitudes of the kinetic energy of the control experiment, with no nudging of any kind, and the spectral nudging experiment, and those of the simulation with conventional nudging in the interior of the grid. Results are normalized by the amplitudes of the coefficients of the experiment with conventional nudging, so that for example a value of 1 for a particular \( l \) and level indicates similar amplitude as in conventional nudging; a value of 2 corresponds to twice the energy for that particular scale, and so on. As already shown for 500 mb in Fig. 7, spectral nudging (Fig. 8b) maintains at all levels the small scale variability developed by the model when no nudging is applied (Fig. 8a). The energy at small scales in the spectral nudging and no nudging simulations is several times larger than the present in the experiment with conventional nudging, which dumps scales beyond the resolution of reanalysis \( (l > 7) \). At wave numbers less than 7, the three simulations have similar energy amplitude. The same is true below 850 mb, since no relaxation of any kind is applied there.
The spectral nudging experiment and the conventional nudging experiment are very similar in terms of biases in wind and other variables (not shown), since most of their amplitudes are contained in the large scales (especially for mass fields such as temperature and geopotential heights) and these are relaxed to reanalysis in both cases. Figures 7 and 8 show that the difference between those experiments is in the amplitude of the small scales above the boundary layer. The much higher precipitation totals over the Great Plains obtained with the spectral nudging experiments as compared to using conventional nudging, is therefore explained by the presence of the small-scale variability in the mid and upper troposphere that the model with spectral nudging develops.

6. Summary and conclusions

In this study we investigated the dependence of results on the position and size of the model’s grid when using a regional model for dynamic downscaling. We find that the large scale circulation is distorted by the interaction of the flow with the lateral border of the grid, where boundary conditions are imposed on the atmospheric variables by a relaxation of the model solution to reanalysis fields.

Small-scale errors throughout the domain eventually grow and affect the synoptic scales of the model’s solution, diverting it from observations. This creates physical incompatibilities between the model’s fields and reanalysis at the outflow boundaries, where boundary conditions are actually over-specified (Staniforth, 1997). The Davies boundary conditions damp relatively small-scale disturbances smoothing the fields near the lateral boundaries. However, they are unable to handle long-waves that reflect from the sponge layer along the boundaries. These reflecting waves interfere and distort the synoptic circulation across the grid, overwhelming the supply of correct information entering through the inflow boundaries. The resulting biases in the circulation show long-wave patterns, displaced and organized by topographic features in the
domain (in our case, the Rocky Mountains), whose interaction with the flow plays an important role in the amplification and creation of synoptic waves in the dynamics.

These results confirm those from a previous study by Miguez-Macho et al. (2003) for a domain over North America. Rinke and Dethloff (2000) also found that most of the errors in climate simulations with a regional model over the Arctic came from differences in wavelengths longer than 1000 km. The impossibility of avoiding distortion in the synoptic scales for sufficiently large domains has also been suggested in earlier studies with regional models applied for climate downscaling over Europe (Jones et al., 1995) and for predictability (Vukicevic and Errico, 1990).

The month simulated here was June of 2000, where abundant precipitation fell on the Great Plains. Most of the summer rainfall in this region is convective in nature, and related to mesoscale dynamics such as elongated squall lines and mesoscale convective systems. The moisture necessary to produce large precipitation totals is fed from the Gulf of Mexico by the Great Plains low-level jet, also a mesoscale feature. These small-scale processes responsible for most of the rainfall occur well in the interior of the domains that we chose for all experiments. However, they are not independent from the large-scale environment. Stronger low-level jets have been correlated with intense upper level zonal flow over the Rockies (Byerle and Paegle, 2003), and mesoscale convective complexes are favored by a strong low-level jet and weaker upper-tropospheric inertial stability (Pan et al., 2000).

In our experiments, distortion of the large-scale flow varies depending on the position of the domain boundaries. This results in different conditions for the development of the mesoscale dynamics responsible for rainfall, and as a consequence in different precipitation results, both in amount and in pattern. Dependence of precipitation amounts on domain geometry in regional
climate simulations has been previously reported in the literature (Seth and Giorgi, 1998; Liang et al., 2000).

As a solution for the problem of the dependence of results on the grid’s size and position, which is intrinsic to the nesting procedure, we tested the spectral nudging technique (Waldron et al., 1996, von Storch et al. 2000). Miguez-Macho et al. (2003) employed spectral nudging of waves 2500 km and longer in a previous study and showed that it corrected the distortion of the large-scales and improved results largely. The relaxation was not applied at any level for specific humidity, and for any variable in the boundary layer. Here we followed a similar procedure and demonstrated that with spectral nudging the model results no longer depended on the position and size of the grid.

To study the behavior of the model when we utilize spectral nudging, we compared results of the spectrally nudged experiment to those of a simulation where conventional relaxation (all spectrum is nudged, instead of only the long waves) was applied with the same time-scale and for the same variables and levels as in spectral nudging. Precipitation was significantly reduced when conventional nudging was used. Both experiments had small biases in the mid and upper air fields, which indicates that the synoptic scales closely followed the observations. Spectral analysis showed that both experiments had similar amplitude of small-scale variability in the lower atmosphere, since no relaxation was applied there. The main difference appeared in the mid and upper troposphere, where the spectral nudging experiment had several times more energy in scales below the resolution of the reanalysis fields than the conventional nudging experiment. The relaxation to reanalysis at all scales damped the energy that the model developed at wavelengths smaller than the ones already present in the reanalysis. Spectral nudging maintained that energy with amplitudes similar to those found when no nudging of any kind is applied in the interior of the domain.
Dynamic fluctuations with scales smaller than about 2500 km in the mid and upper troposphere (and not in the boundary layer) were responsible for the larger precipitation in the experiment with spectral nudging than in the experiment with conventional nudging, and the higher rainfall amounts were closer to observed rain-gauge data for the period. The small-scale responses to the large-scale environment were successfully developed by the model when spectrally nudged, and these were especially important in the mid and upper atmosphere. Spectral nudging, even when applied only to a large-scale component of the atmospheric flow, allows the accurate development of small-scale processes, like convective precipitation. Parameterizations of such processes still have to be improved in the models, but our approach eliminates the dependence on the domain choice that complicates the interpretation of the responses of the model to changes in the physics.

These results suggest that for all downscaling experiments with regional models, spectral nudging is necessary for accurate simulation of small scale circulation and to eliminate spurious influence of the boundaries on large scale circulation inside the domain. Only after this problem is addressed, can the relative effects of local surface interactions and large scale forcing be studied, and the small scale, regional patterns of climate change be accurately simulated.
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Figure 1. Domain utilized in the control experiment.
Figure 2. Total precipitation (mm/day) in June 2000 for (a) observed data gridded over the U.S. (Higgins et al., 2002); (b) RAMS control experiment; experiments with grid displaced (c) to the west; (d) to the east; (e) to the north; (f) to the south; (g) experiment with grid rotated 90°; and (h) experiment with square grid.
Figure 3. (a) NCEP/NCAR reanalysis average zonal wind (m/s) at 200 mb for June of 2000; and 200 mb zonal wind biases (m/s) for (b) RAMS control experiment; experiments with grid displaced (c) to the west; (d) to the east; (e) to the north; (f) to the south; (g) experiment with grid rotated 90°; and (h) experiment with square grid.
Figure 4. (a) NCEP/NCAR reanalysis average meridional wind (m/s) at 200 mb for June of 2000; and 200 mb meridional wind biases (m/s) for (b) RAMS control experiment; experiments with grid displaced (c) to the west; (d) to the east; (e) to the north; (f) to the south; (g) experiment with grid rotated 90°; and (h) experiment with square grid.
Figure 5. Total precipitation (mm/day) in June 2000 for RAMS simulations with spectral nudging for (a) control experiment; experiments with grid displaced (b) to the west; (c) to the east; (d) to the north; (e) to the south; (f) experiment with grid rotated 90°; and (g) experiment with square grid.
Figure 6. Total precipitation (mm/day) for June 2000 for RAMS experiment with the grid in the control position and conventional nudging applied in the interior of the domain.
Figure 7. Spectrum of the kinetic energy at 500 mb. The x axis corresponds to \( n \), the index of the two-dimensional wave number and the y axis to \( \log_{10} \) of the variance. (a) Control simulation, where there is no nudging at all in the interior of the grid; (b) experiment with spectral nudging; and (c) experiment with conventional nudging in the interior of the domain. Curves are for initial time (black, open circles), day 10 (red, closed squares), day 20 (green, open squares) and day 30 (blue, closed squares).
Figure 8. Vertical structure of the differences, averaged for the whole month of integration, between the spectral amplitudes of the kinetic energy of (a) the control experiment, with no nudging of any kind, and (b) the spectral nudging experiment; and those of the simulation with conventional nudging in the interior of the grid. Results are normalized by the amplitudes of the coefficients of the experiment with conventional nudging.