MEETINGS

Progress in Climate Model Simulations of Geoengineering

Second GeoMIP Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Workshop; Exeter, United Kingdom, 30–31 March 2012

Geoengineering through solar radiation management consists of hypothetical approaches to directly intervene in the climate system to counteract some consequences of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. One commonly studied method involves creating a layer of sulfate aerosols in the stratosphere covering most of the globe. This method takes inspiration from large volcanic eruptions, which cool the planet for a few years after the eruption.

impacts of anthropogenic warming and, depending on the degree of geoengineering, could reverse some of the expected impacts on sea level rise and the cryosphere. However, geoengineering could have unintended side effects, including a weaker summer monsoon system in India, Asia, and the Sahel than in the present day. Regional disparities are also likely, and there are many other potential risks of stratospheric geoengineering, including ozone depletion.

Deliberately cooling the planet could help Som to temporarily alleviate some dangerous gineer

Some climate model simulations of geoengineering with stratospheric sulfate aerosols

International Ocean Discovery Program 2013–2023

Call for Scientific Ocean Drilling Proposals Submit by: October 1, 2012

The International Ocean Discovery Program starts October 2013. Drilling proposals for this new program are now being solicited by the current IODP (Integrated Ocean Drilling Program). The use of three types of drilling platforms is planned for the new IODP: (a) The riserless D/V JOIDES Resolution (JR); (b) the riser (with riserless option) D/V Chikyu; and (c) Mission Specific Platforms (MSP) which provide a wide range of technologies for drilling and coring in various types of environments as further complements to JR and Chikyu.

JR is planned to operate as close as possible to 12 months/year under a long-term, global circumnavigation plan based on proposal pressure. MSP expeditions are planned to operate once per year on average. Operations of *Chikyu* will be more project-based.

JR is expected to operate in the western Pacific and Indian Ocean in 2015–2016. To establish effective schedules for later phase (2017–2019), proposal pressure within the broader Southern Oceans, the South Atlantic, the Caribbean and the Mediterranean will need to increase. Proponents are therefore strongly encouraged to submit drilling proposals concerning these regions. *Chikyu* and MSP drilling proposals concerning any ocean are also welcomed. Scientific priorities of *Chikyu* projects will be discussed at the international workshop which is tentatively planned to be held in Tokyo in April 2013. As for MSP, the Arctic regions are considered as a priority.


have been performed, but most used different scenarios in their simulations, making the results difficult to compare. The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) provides a framework of four standardized geoengineering experiments, allowing for comparison of climate model results between different models and revealing the robust features of model responses to geoengineering (B. Kravitz et al., The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP), Atmos. Sci. Lett., 12(2), 162-167, doi:10.1002/asl.316, 2011). This project is a "coordinated experiment" within the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 and involves simulations of either solar constant reductions or stratospheric aerosol layers by state-of-the-art climate models.

The first GeoMIP workshop, which outlined the project and included detailed discussions of simulation protocols, was held at Rutgers University on 10-12 February 2011 (A. Robock et al., Standardizing experiments in geoengineering, Eos Trans. AGU, 92(23), 197, doi:10.1029/2011EO230008, 2011). The second workshop, held on 30-31 March 2012 at the University of Exeter (http://www.exeter .ac.uk/g360/geomip2012/), involved assessment of progress on the project. Preliminary results from multiple models showed agreement on reductions in the global hydrologic cycle from balancing increases in greenhouse gases with a reduction in the solar constant caused by a difference in response times to the different forcings. However, the magnitude of precipitation decrease differs among models, partly because each model has different sensitivities to solar and greenhouse gas forcings. Methods of coordinated analysis were discussed, as were potential contributions to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report, including an analysis of the climate effects of immediate cessation of geoengineering. Model output can be provided to social scientists, agriculture modelers, and other parties interested in applying model results to other studies. A suite of experiments was proposed to investigate geoengineering by brightening of marine stratocumulus clouds. The workshop included 26 members of the science research and communication communities from seven different countries. Results from more than 20 climate models. will soon be available on the Earth System Grid network. The GeoMIP official Web page (http://climate.envsci.rutgers.edu/GeoMIP/) discusses simulation specifications in detail and will be updated with new information. including results and publications, as these results become available. A. Robock is supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grants ATM-0730452 and AGS-1157525. Funding for the workshop was provided by the Integrated Assessment of Geoengineering Projects (http://www.iagp .ac.uk/) and G360 (http://www.exeter.ac .uk/g360/). We thank all participants of the

workshop for their contributions and Pete Hodges for local support.

—BEN KRAVITZ, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, Calif.; E-mail: bkravitz@carnegie.stanford .edu; ALAN ROBOCK, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, N. J.; and JAMES M. HAYWOOD, University of Exeter and Hadley Centre, Met Office, Exeter, UK

Meetings cont.on next page



The science plan for the new IODP defines the themes of highest programmatic priority and can be found at http://www.iodp.org/Science-Plan-for-2013-2023. Information on already planned drilling activities, proposal guidance and Scientific Advisory Structure (SAS) at www.iodp.org. Questions: science@iodp.org



AGU Search: Chair, Chapman Conference Program, 2013 – 2015 Application Deadline: 15 September

To learn more about the full duties and qualifications for this position and the dynamic AGU Chapman program visit

chapman.agu.org.



The Standard for Precision, Stability and Reliability • Volcanology • Tectonics • Marine Sciences • Physics • Astronomy



A CARBO Company

415.364.3200 GEOMECHANICS.COM

340